

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/314,698 | PERRIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Juliet C. Switzer | 1634 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) _____.

(2) Ingrie Beattie.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

58

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The supplemental examiner's amendment mistakenly listed claim 38 as being amended. A further supplemental examiner's amendment will be issued which properly amends claim 58. The supplemental amendment mailed 6/3/03 will be vacated.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)